

Billing Code 4154-01

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Community Living

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Public Comment Request; Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) State Partnership Program, OMB approval number 0985-NEW

AGENCY: Administration for Community Living, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Administration for Community Living (ACL) is announcing that the proposed collection of information listed above has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance as required under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This 30-Day notice collects comments on the information collection requirements related to Proposed New information collection requirements related to the Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) State Partnership Program.

DATES: Submit written comments on the collection of information by [PLEASE INSERT 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: Submit electronic comments on the collection of information by:

- (a) Email to: OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ACL;
- (b) fax to 202.395.5806, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ACL; or
- (c) by mail to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, New Executive Office Bldg., 725 17th St. NW, Rm. 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ACL.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dana Fink, Administration for Community Living, Washington, D.C. 20201, (202) 795-7604, or dana.fink@acl.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, ACL has submitted the following proposed new information collection to OMB for review and clearance.

The purpose of the federal Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) State Partnership Program is to create and strengthen a system of services and supports that maximizes the independence, well-being, and health of people with TBIs across the lifespan and all other demographics, their family members, and support networks. The TBI State Partnership Program funds the development and implementation of statewide systems that ensure access to TBI related services, including transitional services, rehabilitation, education and employment, and long-term community support. To best monitor, guide, and support TBI State Partnership Program grantees, ACL needs regular information about the grantees' activities and outcomes. The simplest, least burdensome and most useful way to accomplish this goal is to require grantees to submit information as part of their required semiannual reports via the proposed electronic data submission instrument.

In 1996, the Public Health Service Act was amended "to provide for the conduct of expanded studies and the establishment of innovative programs with respect to traumatic brain injury, and for other purposes" (Public Law 104–166). This legislation allowed for the implementation of "grants to States for the purpose of carrying out demonstration projects to improve access to health and other services regarding traumatic brain injury." The TBI Reauthorization Act of 2014 (P.L. 113-196) allowed the Department of Health and Human Services Secretary to review

oversight of the federal TBI programs (TBI State Partnership Grant program and the TBI Protection and Advocacy program) and reconsider which operating division should lead them. With avid support from TBI stakeholders, the Secretary found that the goals of the federal TBI programs closely align with ACL's mission to advance policy and implement programs that support the rights of older Americans and people with disabilities to live in their communities. As a result, on Oct. 1, 2015, the federal TBI programs moved from the Health Resources and Services Administration to ACL. These programs were reauthorized again by the Traumatic Brain Injury Reauthorization Act of 2018 (P.L. 115-377).

The proposed performance measures assess progress toward surmounting the four commonly recognized barriers to accessing care for people with TBI:

- (1) A lack of information about available services and supports with little or no assistance in accessing them (information and referral services);
- (2) A shortage of health professionals who may encounter individuals with TBI but lack relevant training to identify or treat the resulting symptoms (professional training);
- (3) The absence of a TBI diagnosis or the assignment of an incorrect diagnosis (screening); and
- (4) Critical TBI services are spread across numerous agencies resulting in services being difficult for individuals and families to identify and navigate (resource facilitation).

The proposed performance measures are designed to account for the varied approaches used across state grantees and are consistent with the TBI State Partnership Program's purpose and ACL's mission.

COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO THE 60-DAY FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE:

ACL published a 60-day Federal Register Notice from 11/13/2017-01/12/2018 (Vol. 82, No.217 pp. 52305-52306). ACL received a large volume of substantive stakeholder comments, causing revisions to the IC based on those public comments. The period in publication between the 60-day FRN and 30-day FRN, allowed ACL to thoughtfully review and apply the significant number of substantive public comments to the proposed new TBI IC.

In response to the original federal register notice in 2018, twenty-three (23) individuals provided written comments in response to the federal register notice containing the original proposed TBI Performance Measures, presented in the form of a reporting instrument for future TBI grantees. Commenters provided feedback on specific reporting instrument questions as well as general suggestions and recommendations for ACL about what grantees should report.

- 268 separate comments were made about one or more specific survey questions.
- 102 separate comments asked for a definition, further guidance, or clarification with regard to terminology used.
- 81 comments made a general recommendation, not specific to a particular question

ACL also received feedback in 2018 through multiple face-to-face interactions with a majority of the current TBI grantees regarding the proposed measures.

ACL revised the instrument in 2019, in order to remain compliant with PRA 5 CFR 1320.8(d), ACL published an abbreviated public comment period prior to publishing the 30-day FRN and submitting to OMB. ACL solicited comments during the abbreviated public comment period

regarding: (1) the accuracy of ACL's revised estimate of the burden for the proposed collection of information performance reporting data elements and (2) whether the proposed revisions to the collection of information enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

During the abbreviated public comment period published in the 83 FR 53738 received 14 additional comments. These comments have been addressed largely through the addition of definitions and guidance. The tool has been simplified, some questions have been eliminated or simplified because of concern about the burden, and three open-ended narrative questions added. The most prevalent comments and themes emerging from the public comments are summarized below:

Intended scope of the questions: The suggestion that occurred most across all commenters was for ACL to better define the scope of the questions. Many commenters asked whether ACL expected grantees to limit their reporting to their own grant activities, the staff they train with the grant funds, and the people with TBI they interact with using grant funds or if they would be expected to report about activities going on in the state beyond their grant activities. Commenters raised the issue of intended scope in general and specifically about almost all the questions in the instrument. Several commenters noted that the grants were awarded to different types of state agencies in different states and the reporting instrument did not make clear what ACL meant by the term "TBI System," which could be interpreted to mean different things such as: the Medicaid system, the criminal justice system, the educational system, the vocational rehabilitation system, the broader medical system, or all of these together. Many indicated that

grantees would have limited or no access to data about activities or people supported outside the grant activities being conducted by their own partnering organizations.

Response and Changes to Instrument: ACL intends for TBI grantees to report only about their own grant activities, the staff they train using grant funds, the partners they work with, and the people affected by TBI they interact with using grant funds. Additional guidance and definitions will be added to the online version of the instrument to clarify this intent and provide more guidance for grantees operating in different systems. For example:

- (1) If a grantee is using grant funds to serve people with TBI within the criminal justice system statewide, the scope of their reporting will be limited to the statewide criminal justice system.
- (2) If a grantee is using their grant funds to assist people interacting with the vocational rehabilitation system in one region of the state, the scope of their reporting will be limited to that region's vocational rehabilitation system.
- (3) If grant funds are going to several partnering organizations to work with people with TBI, the scope of that grantee's reporting will include the grant-funded activities of all of those partnering organizations (to the extent possible).

In addition, ACL added some new structured and open-ended questions to the instrument to allow grantees to identify their main areas of focus and describe report full or partial data from across their partners depending on what they can access.

Purpose of performance measures and accounting for state and grantee differences: Several commenters indicated they thought the instrument did not adequately account for the differences in how state systems are structured and the different focus areas of different grantees. Several

commenters expressed concern that individual grantees would be negatively evaluated. Specific questions were edited to allow for grantees that are not able to provide data about activities and people outside of the scope of their grants or are otherwise not able to respond to every question.

Response and Changes to Instrument: ACL does not intend to use this reporting instrument to score grantees' individual performance or to compare grantees' performance with one another. ACL's intent is to gather a standard set of information from all grantee states, so that it can be aggregated to provide a better picture of the national impact of the grant program. However, ACL understands that states are working within different systems and focusing on different activities and that states' current capacity to collect and report data varies. ACL anticipates that some grantees will not be able to respond to every question on the instrument and this will not negatively affect those grantees. ACL hopes that every question will be applicable and feasible to answer for at least a subset of grantees, therefore providing a more complete (although not perfect) picture of grant activities than is currently available.

ACL revised the instrument questions to account more for state and grantee differences. For example, new structured and open-ended questions have been added at the beginning of the instrument to allow grantees to identify their main areas of focus and describe where the data they report are coming from so that ACL can interpret it appropriately. Using skip patterns programmed into the online tool, additional questions related to these areas of focus will only appear to grantees who indicate they are working in those areas. ACL will program the instrument into the online system so that some grantees may be directed to answer or not to answer some questions depending on how they answer initial questions about their grant

activities and scope. Finally, an additional field has been added to most questions to allow grantees who do not respond or who can only respond partially to provide some descriptive notes about the data they submit.

Estimating prevalence and unmet need: Several commenters noted that reporting the prevalence of TBI and estimating the needs of people living with a TBI and their families would be very challenging for many grantees. Some noted that many states do not have registries or good/recent epidemiology data. Others indicated grantees would have no way of estimating the number of people who might need supports but are not accessing them. Several suggested that grantees might be able to respond to these questions if additional funding and/or technical assistance to carry out further study are provided.

Response and Changes to Instrument: These questions have been eliminated from the proposed instrument.

Defining services and supports: Several commenters expressed concern that the instrument asked questions about "services and supports" and wondered what ACL means by that term. Noting that grantees are currently focusing on system change work and are not allowed to use grant funds to provide direct in-home hands-on services and supports, some asked whether the funding announcement for new grants will include a different set of objectives and scope than they have in the past. Finally, several commenters interpreted the term to mean Medicaid home and community-based services and noted that not all states have a TBI Medicaid waiver. Those that do not are not likely to be able to access information about participants in other Medicaid waivers who are living with a TBI, so they would not be able to report about people with TBI receiving Medicaid services and supports.

Response and Changes to Instrument: ACL does not intend for grantees to use grant funds to

provide direct in-home hands-on services and supports, such as those provided through Medicaid HCBS programs. The instrument's questions were revised to ask more clearly about the specific types of ways grantees may be assisting or supporting people with TBI and their families, such as with information and referral, screening, resource facilitation, service coordination/case management, outreach and education, building stronger partnerships, and other systems change work.

The remaining questions about utilization of home and community-based services and supports are intended to capture information about the extent to which people with TBI who are eligible for these types of services are accessing them, which may be an indicator of long-term systems change that grantees are working towards. These questions will only be applicable to grantees specifically working to increase access to and utilization of home and community-based services in their states.

Medically oriented questions: Several commenters expressed confusion about the instrument including questions they interpreted to be medically oriented, such as questions about technological tools, diagnosis, and treatment. They noted that grant activities might include screening people to identify a history of TBI and/or to better support people with TBI to live more fully in the community – but not diagnosis or medical treatment. They noted these questions would not be applicable to many grantees nor would grantees have access to data about diagnoses and treatment.

Response and Changes to Instrument: The instrument questions have been revised to ask more clearly about the specific ways grantees may be assisting people with TBI and their families,

such as by screening for a lifetime history of TBI and facilitating access to community-based services. Questions about diagnosis and treatment have been removed.

ESTIMATED PROGRAM BURDEN:

These revisions based on public comments caused a change in the annual reporting burden estimates; there is a program change decrease of -1,008 annual burden hours from the 60-day FRN. In addition, the 60-day FRN respondent estimate was based on the highest number of possible awards anticipated; there is an adjustment decrease of -18 respondents.

Adjusted		Average	
Number of	Number of Responses	Burden Hours	Total
Respondents	(per respondent)	(per response)	Burden Hours
27	2	8	432

60-day FRN		Average	
Number of	Number of Responses	Burden Hours	Total
Respondents	(per respondent)	(per response)	Burden Hours
45	2	16	1,440

Dated: January 22, 2020.

Mary Lazare,

Principal Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2020-02091 Filed: 2/3/2020 8:45 am; Publication Date: 2/4/2020]